1:13-cv-02269 Document #: 1-1 Filed: 03/26/13 Page 1 of 9 PageID #:3 2120 -2121 - Served 2220 - Not Served 2221 - Not Served 2320 - Served By Mail 2321 - Served By Mail 2420 - Served By Publication 2421 - Served By Publication **SUMMONS ALIAS - SUMMONS** (8/01/08) CCG N001 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS MAR 25 2013 No. DEPARTMENT OF LAW ANTONIO CROSS Please Serve: (Name all parties) City of Chicago c/o Susan Mendoza City Hall 121 N. Lasalle - Rm 107 City of Chicago, a municipal corporation and Dante Servin Chicago, Illinois 60602 **SUMMONS** To each Defendant: YOU ARE SUMMONED and required to file an answer to the complaint in this case, a copy of which is hereto attached, or otherwise file your appearance, and pay the required fee, in the Office of the Clerk of this Court at the following location: Richard J. Daley Center, 50 W. Washington, Room 801 Chicago, Hlinois 60602 District 2 - Skokie District 3 - Rolling Meadows District 4 - Mayyood 5600 Old Orchard Rd. 2121 Euclid 1500 Maybrook Ave. Skokie, IL 60077 Rolling Meadows, IL 60008 Maywood, 1L 60153 District 5 - Bridgeview District 6 - Markham Child Support 10220 S. 76th Ave. 28 North Clark St., Room 00 16501 S. Kedzie Pkwy. Bridgeview, IL 60455 Markham, IL 60426 Chicago, Illines 60602 You must file within 30 days after service of this Summons, not counting the day of service. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED IN THE COMPLAINT. To the officer: This Summons must be returned by the officer or other person to whom it was given for service, with endorsement of service and fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot be made, this Summons shall be returned so endorsed. This Summons may not be served later than 30 days after its date. MARI 2 n 280 Attv. No.: 23721 WITNESS Name: Benjamin E. Starks Atty. for: Plaintiff Address: 11528 S Halsted City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60628 Date of service: (To be inserted by eft with defendant **Telephone:** (773) 995-7900 or other person

(Area Code)

(Facsimile Telephone

Service by Facsimile Transmission will be accepted at:

Case: 1:13-cv-02269 Document #: 1-1 Filed: 03/26/13 Page 2 of 9 PageID #:4 Exhibit A, Page 2 of 9

2120 - Served

2121 - Served

2220 - Not Served 2320 - Served By Mail

2221 - Not Served 2321 - Served By Mail

2420 - Served By Publication 2421 - Served By Publication **SUMMONS**

ALIAS - SUMMONS

BOMINIO,	AUF	AS - SUMMONS		(8/01/08) CC	Q M001
			OF COOK COUNT		
	COUNTY DE	PARTMENT, LAW		DIVISION	
				No. 문名소련하당 3중 카롱공리에 F	
ANTONI	O CROSS			TIME 00:00 Tort - Intent	
	v.	(Name all	parties)	City of Chicago c/o Susan Mendoza City Hall	
City of C	hicago, a municipal corpora	ation and Dante Se	ervin	121 N. Lasalle - Rm 107 Chicago, Illinois 60602	
		SUM	MMONS	. •	4
To each D	Defendant:			. •	× ====================================
YC hereto att following	ached, or otherwise file your	required to file a appearance, and pa	n answer to the co y the required fee, in	omplaint in this case, a copy of we the Office of the Clerk of this Cour	hich is t at the
Ø	Richard J. Daley Center, 50	W. Washington, Ro	om <u>801</u>	, Chicago, Illinois 60602	
	District 2 - Skokie 5600 Old Orchard Rd. Skokie, IL 60077	2121 Euclid	olling Meadows	District 4 - Maywood 1500 Maybrook Ave. Maywood, IL 60153	
	District 5 - Bridgeview 10220 S. 76th Ave. Bridgeview, IL 60455	District 6 - M 16501 S. Ked Markham, H	zie Pkwy.	Child Support 28 North Clark St., Room 200 Chicago, Illinois 60602)
lF YOU F	file within 30 days after serv FAIL TO DO SO, A JUDGM FED IN THE COMPLAINT.	IENT BY DEFAUL	s, not counting the d T MAY BE ENTE	ay of service. RED AGAINST YOU FOR THE R	ELIEF
Γο the off	icer:				
of service :	and fees, if any, immediately a mons may not be served later	ıfter service. If servi	ce cannot be made, t	it was given for service, with endors his Summons shall be returned so endominately MAR 2 02013	sement lorsed.
Name: Benjamin E. Starks				UKOTHY DROWN	
Atty. for:	Plaintiff			LERK OF CIRCUIT COURT	
Address:	11528 S Halsted			Clerk of Court	
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60628					
Celephone: (773) 995-7900			(To b	e inserted by edical pp. copy III with det	fendant
Service by	Facsimile Transmission will	be accepted at:		Cromman !	
			(Area Code)	(Facsimile Telephone Number)	

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

ANTONIO CROSS,

Plaintiff,

v.

2017LO**0288**2 CALENDAR/ROOM F 11ME 00:00 Tort - Intentional

CITY OF CHICAGO, a municipal corporation, and DANTE SERVIN, an individual,

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT AT LAW

Plaintiff Antonio Cross, by counsel STARKS & ASSOCIATES, complains of defendants City of Chicago, a municipal corporation, and Dante Servin, and individual, as follows:

PARTIES & JURISDICTION

- 1. Plaintiff Antonio Cross lives in Cook County, Illinois.
- 2. Defendant City of Chicago is a municipal corporation with its principal office $\vec{\omega}$ in Cook County, Illinois.
 - 3. Defendant Dante Servin lives in Cook County, Illinois.
- 4. At all times mentioned in this complaint, defendant Dante Servin was acting under color of law and within the scope of his employment with defendant City of Chicago.
 - 5. All events mentioned in this complaint occurred in Cook County, Illinois.

FACTS

6. During the early morning hours of March 21, 2012, plaintiff Antonio Cross was in the vicinity of 3100 W. 15th Place in Chicago.

- 7. Plaintiff Antonio Cross was walking westbound on the sidewalk buttressing
 15th Place with some other individuals including Rekia Boyd.
- 8. At that time and place, defendant Dante Servin approached the group in his vehicle.
- 9. Defendant Dante Servin identified himself as a police officer and brandished a firearm.
- 10. Defendant Dante Servin intentionally aimed the barrel of his firearm in plaintiff Antonio Cross' direction and intentionally fired several bullets.
- 11. One of those bullets struck Rekia Boyd in the head; another struck plaintiff Antonio Cross in the hand.
- 12. Plaintiff Antonio Cross sustained severe and disabling personal injuries as a result of the bullet that defendant Dante Servin fired.
- 13. At all times mentioned in this complaint, plaintiff Antonio Cross was unarmed.
- 14. At all times mentioned in this complaint, plaintiff Antonio Cross did not pose any risk of imminent harm to defendant Dante Servin.
- 15. At all times mentioned in this complaint, plaintiff Antonio Cross did not appear to pose any risk of imminent harm to defendant Dante Servin.
- 16. On information and belief, defendant Dante Servin furnished false information concerning the events leading up to the shooting, which caused criminal proceedings to be commenced against Antonio Cross and which caused Antonio Cross' arrest.

<u>COUNT II – BATTERY—RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR</u> (v. CITY OF CHICAGO)

- 23. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 20–22 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 24. At all relevant times, Dante Servin was acting within the scope of his employment with defendant City of Chicago, thereby rendering defendant City of Chicago vicariously liable under the doctrine of respondent superior.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff Antonio Cross asks for judgment against defendant City of Chicago in excess of the minimum jurisdictional requirements for assignment of this case to the Law Division, plus costs.

COUNT III – MALICIOUS PROSECUTION (v. DANTE SERVIN)

- 25. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1–19 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. Defendant Dante Servin, maliciously instituted criminal proceedings against plaintiff Antonio Cross without probable cause.
- 27. The criminal proceedings against plaintiff Antonio Cross were terminated in a manner indicative of his innocence.
- 28. Plaintiff Antonio Cross was arrested and jailed and suffered incidental and consequential damages as a result thereof.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff Antonio Cross asks for judgment against defendant

Dante Servin in excess of the minimum jurisdictional requirements for assignment
of this case to the Law Division, plus costs.

<u>COUNT IV – MALICIOUS PROSECUTION—RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR</u> (v. CITY OF CHICAGO)

- 29. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 25–28 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 30. At all relevant times, Dante Servin was acting within the scope of his employment with defendant City of Chicago, thereby rendering defendant City of Chicago vicariously liable under the doctrine of respondent superior.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff Antonio Cross asks for judgment against defendant City of Chicago in excess of the minimum jurisdictional requirements for assignment of this case to the Law Division, plus costs.

COUNT V - INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS (v. DANTE SERVIN)

- 31. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1–19 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 32. The conduct of defendant Dante Servin as set forth above was extreme and outrageous. Defendant Dante Servin's conduct was rooted in an abuse of power or authority and was undertaken with intent to cause, or reckless disregard of the high likelihood that it would cause, severe emotional distress to plaintiff Antonio Cross.
- 33. The conduct of defendant Dante Servin in fact caused severe emotional distress to plaintiff Antonio Cross.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff Antonio Cross asks for judgment against defendant

Dante Servin in excess of the minimum jurisdictional requirements for assignment
of this case to the Law Division, plus costs.

COUNT VI – INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS —RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR (v. CITY OF CHICAGO)

- 34. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 31–33 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 35. At all relevant times, Dante Servin was acting within the scope of his employment with defendant City of Chicago, thereby rendering defendant City of Chicago vicariously liable under the doctrine of respondent superior.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff Antonio Cross asks for judgment against defendant City of Chicago in excess of the minimum jurisdictional requirements for assignment of this case to the Law Division, plus costs.

COUNT VII – 42 U.S.C. § 1983 EXCESSIVE FORCE IN VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION (v. DANTE SERVIN)

- 36. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1–19 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. By shooting plaintiff Antonio Cross when plaintiff Antonio Cross did not actually pose, nor appear to pose, a threat to defendant Dante Servin, defendant Dante Servin used excessive force in violation of the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff Antonio Cross asks for judgment against defendant City of Chicago in excess of the minimum jurisdictional requirements for assignment of this case to the Law Division, including an award of reasonably attorneys fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988, plus costs.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY.

Dated: March 20, 2013.

BY:

Benjamin E. Starks

STARKS & ASSOCIATES

Attorneys for Plaintiff Antonio Cross

11528 S. Halsted Ave.

Chicago, IL 60628

(773) 995-7900

Firm I.D. No. 23721

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

ANTONIO CROSS.

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF CHICAGO, a municipal corporation, and DANTE SERVIN, an individual,

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ILL. SUP. CT. R. 222(b) AFFIDAVIT

The undersigned hereby states that in the above-captioned matter, plaintiff seeks money damages in excess of \$50,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

BY:

Benjamin E. Starks STARKS & ASSOCIATES

 $Attorneys\ for\ Plaintiff\ Antonio\ Cross$

11528 S. Halsted Ave.

Chicago, IL 60628

(773) 995-7900

Firm I.D. No. 23721